ON THE DISCOVERY OF A PTEROPOD IN BRITISH EOCENE STRATA, WITH THE DESCRIPTION OF A NEW SPECIES.

By G. F. HARRIS, F.G.S., etc.

Read January 12th, 1894.

THE Paris basin, so rich in the remains of fossil Tertiary Mollusca, contains at least six species of Pteropoda, appertaining to the genera Spirialis, Valvatina, Thecopsella, and Euchilotheca; but this group has never been recorded, so far as I am aware, as occurring in the Eccene or Oligocene beds of this country. Examining some fossil Mollusca in the Edwards collection at the Natural History Museum, I found a specimen from Bracklesham which is an undoubted Pteropod, belonging to the genus Euchilotheca (Fam. Cavoliniidæ) 1; and which forms the subject of the present communication.

## EUCHILOTHECA, Fischer.

Type.—Cleodora Parisiensis, Deshayes, Desc. Anim. sans Vert. 1861, t. 2, p. 187, pl. iii. figs. 15-17 (= Vaginella (?) succincta, Defrance).

This differs from the closely allied genus Thecopsella, Munier-Chalmas, by reason of its irregular shape, whilst its embryo is slightly less dilated. M. Cossmann, acting on the authority of M. Munier-Chalmas, includes this genus amongst the Cæcidæ, though he seems very doubtful as to the correctness of so doing. He remarks that the classification of both this and Thecopsella will not be definitive until longitudinal sections of the shells are cut to ascertain whether any transverse septa are present, as in *Cacum*. It seems to me, however, that this point is already resolved. M. Defrance <sup>3</sup> and MM. Dollfus and Raymond 4 give excellent figures of a longitudinal section of E. succincta (the type of the genus), and no septa are shown; neither are any present in the English species about to be described. I therefore follow MM. Deshayes, Fischer, Dollfus, Raymond and other French authors, in regarding Euchilotheca as a Pteropod.

## Euchilotheca elegans, n.sp.

Gadus coangustatus, Edwards MS.

Siphonodentalium breve, R. B. Newton. — Syst. List Edwards Collection British Museum, 1891, p. 287.

Shell elongate, cylindro-conical, tapering posteriorly, shining, extremely thin and fragile, with no surface ornamentation; margin

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Fischer, Journ. Conchyl. (Paris), 1882, sér. iii. t. xxii. p. 59.

Ann. Soc. Roy. Malac. de Belgique, 1888, t. xxiii. p. 294.
Dict. Sc. Nat. 1828, t lvi. p. 427; Atlas Conchyl. pl. xcvii. figs. 5a, b.
Ann. Soc Roy. Malac. de Belgique, 1885, t. xx. p. 43, pl. iii. fig. 4b.

of anterior end at right angles to the axis reflected outwardly, moderately thickened; central portion of test slightly arched.

Length of specimen 5.5 mm.; maximum diameter nearly 1.5 mm. Formation.—Bracklesham Beds. Locality.—Bracklesham Bay.

The sole example on which this species is founded is, unfortunately, not in a very good condition, its pointed extremity being incomplete; if restored the shell would, no doubt, reach as much as 8 mm. in length. The remains, however, are sufficiently characteristic to prevent confusion with any other genus; and it seemed desirable to place the matter on record. Its nearest ally is *E. succineta*, Defrance, from the Calcaire Grossier (Middle Eocene) of the Paris basin, which is a smaller form (4 to 5 mm.), thinner, having an oblique orifice, with a more pronounced thickened edge, and more undulating in its length.



Euchilotheca elegans, n.sp.